

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGEN. WASHINGTON, D.O. 20480

May 23, 2001

JAFRÆØS WKNER

Mary E. Jevne 723ž Blair Way Vahkon, MN 56386

Deat Ma. Jeyne:

This latter is in response to your correspondence to Administrator Whitman deted. February 14, 2001, regarding the continued existence of the Mille Laus Reservation. Your letter indicates that you believe that the Mille Laus Reservation was disestablished by operation of the Nelson Act, ch. 24, 25 Stat. 642 (1869). This question is addressed in the attached opinion of the Department of the Interior. That opinion, in the form of a February 22, 1991, letter to Mr. Barl I. Darlow, states that the Mille Laus Reservation has not been diminished and that its exterior boundaries remain those established by the Treaty of February 22, 1895, 10 Stat. 1165. The Department of the Interior recently realfinned its opinion in the attached November 5, 1998, letter to Dr. R. D. Carteau.

Your letter also refers to United States v. Mille Lac Band of Chippens Indians. 229 U.S. 498 (1913), for the proposition that the Supreme Court ruled that the Nelson Act disestablished the Mille Lacs Reservation. That case, however, concerned whether the United States was liable for damages relating to the conveyance of lands within the Mille Locs Reservation pursuant to the general land laws. That case did not address the precise issue of whether the Nelson Act discsuablished the boundaries of the Mille Lacs Reservation.

The Supreme Court's decision in Cass County v. Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians. 524 U.S. 103 (1998), discusses the Nolson Act. Our Region 5 Office, in the attached October 22, 1998, letter to Dr. Coricau, explained that the Court's decision did not find that the Nelson Act duminished the Leech Lake Reservation or other Indian Reservations in Minnesota. I also note that the Department of the Interior reached the same conclusion on this matter and conveyed that opinion in their November 5, 1998, letter to Dr. Corteau. The Supreme Court's decision in Cass County v. Leach Lake Dand of Chippewa Indians, 524 U.S. 163 (1998), did not dissurb the Eighth Circuit's ruling that the Leech Lake Reservation "has never been disestablished or diminished" even though the Leech Lake Reservation, like other Reservations in Minnesota, was allotted pursuant in the Nelson Arr See Leech Lake Russi Rand of Chippewa Indians v. Cass County. 108 F.3d 620, 822 (8th Cir. 1997).

Becycles Presidente e Présestatis regardes Countries en 160% Projectent que 145% Coperniques

I understand dust the concerns you raise specific to the draft NPDES permit and associated environmental assessment regarding the proposed Garrison area sawage treatment plant have been addressed in a telephone call you had from John Collent in follow up to your February letter. In addition, I have been told that you will receive a copy of the response to comments once they are released.

If you have any further questions regarding this letter, please do not besitate to contact Anthony Hanson in my Office at (202) 260-8106.

Sincerely

Joff Besougioff, Acting Director American Indian Environmental Office

Attachments